1 2 3 4	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTO JAMES M. CHADWICK, ESQ. (#157114) 4 Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor San Francisco, California 9411-4106 Telephone: (415) 434-9100 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 Email: jchadwick@sheppardmullin.co		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, HORTA, LLC		
6	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (#88625) NORA FRIMANN, Chief Trial Attorney (#93249) JOSEPH P. DiCIUCCIO, Sr. Deputy City Attorney (#56885) Office of the City Attorney 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, California 95113-1905		
7			
8			
9 10	Telephone: (408) 535-1900 Facsimile: (408) 998-3131 Email: cao.main@sanjoseca.gov		
11	Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF SAN JOSE		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
16	HORTA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability	CASE NO. C02-04086 JF (RS)	
17	company, Plaintiff,	[Related Case Nos. C00-20018 JF and C05-03778JF]	
18		•	
19	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR	
20	CITY OF SAN JOSE, a municipal corporation,	ATTORNEY'S FEES	
21	Defendant.	Date: January 4, 2008 Time: 9:00 A.M.	
22		Courtroom: 3 Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel	
23			
24	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Horta, LLC (hereinafter "Horta") has filed an amended motion for		
26	attorney's fees herein;		
27	WHEREAS, the motion is set to be heard on January 4, 2008, and pursuant to the		
28	local rules, the City's written response to the motion would be due on December 14, 2007;		
1			
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Motion for Attorney's Fees 452435 C02-04086 JF (RS)		
1	1		

 $/\!/$

//

//

 $/\!/$

WHEREAS, in order to properly respond to the motion, the City arranged for expert review of the fee motion and billings;

WHEREAS, the retained expert was unexpectedly compelled to withdraw from the assignment on December 5, 2007, and the City has not yet been able to retain other expert services;

WHEREAS, the City will not be able to complete retention and review prior to December 14, 2007.

The parties hereto agree that:

- (1) The hearing on the Motion to for Attorney's Fees should be continued to February 1, 2008;
- (2) The City's written opposition to said motion shall be due on January 11, 2008;
- (3) Horta's reply shall be due on January 18, 2008, provided that, if Horta wishes to depose any City expert retained to review the motion and attorney's fee billings or requires additional time to respond to the City's opposition, City will agree to said deposition(s) and to a reasonable continuance of the briefing and hearing dates;
- (4) Should Horta submit expert testimony in connection with its reply, Horta will agree to deposition(s) of its expert(s) and to a reasonable continuance of the hearing date; and
- (5) Should City depose expert(s) submitted by Horta, City shall be entitled to submit a written Surreply limited to responding to the expert testimony submitted on behalf of Horta, and Horta shall be entitled to submit a written response to the City's Surreply.

1	Dated: Dece	mber 7, 2007 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP	
.2		Ω in Ω .	
3		By: JAMES CHADWICK, Esq.	
4		Attorneys for Plaintiff HORTA, LLC	
5			
6	Dated: Dece	ember 7, 2007 RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney	
7		By:	
8 9		NORA FRIMANN, Chief Trial Attorney JOSEPH DICIUCCIO, Sr. Dep. City Atty Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF SAN JOSE	
10		Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF SAN JOSE	
11			
12			
13	ORDER		
14	Pursuant to the stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS SO		
15	ORDERED.		
16	(1)	The hearing on the Motion for Attorney's Fees should be continued to February	
17		1, 2008;	
18	(2)	The parties shall inform the Court if a settlement of the attorney's fees issue is	
19		reached prior to the hearing date; and	
20	(3)	The parties shall inform the Court if any further continuance of the hearing or	
21		briefing dates is required.	
22			
23	Dated: Dece	ember <u>12</u> , 2007	
24		United States District Court Judge	
25			
26			
27			
28		2	
		3	